Efficient use of ferrate(VI) in the oxidative removal of potassium hydrogen phthalate from aqueous solutions

Diwakar Tiwari¹, Lalsaimawia Sailo¹, Yi-Yong Yoon^{2†}, Seung-Mok Lee^{2†}

¹Department of Chemistry, School of Physical Science, Mizoram University, Aizawl-796004, India

²Department of Environmental Engineering, Catholic Kwandong University, Gangneung 25601, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study is to assess the applicability of ferrate(VI) in the efficient treatment of aqueous waste contaminated with potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) which is known to be a potent endocrine disrupting chemicals. Simulated batch reactor operations were conducted at a wide range of pH (7.0 to 12.0) and molar ratios of KHP to ferrate(VI). Kinetic studies were performed in the degradation process and overall rate constant was found to be 83.40 L/mol/min at pH 8.0. The stoichiometry of ferrate(VI) and KHP was found to be 1:1. Further, lower pH values and higher KHP concentrations were favoured greatly the degradation of KHP by ferrate(VI). Total organic carbon analysis showed that partial mineralization of KHP was achieved. The presence of several background electrolytes were studied in the degradation of KHP by ferrate(VI).

Keywords: Background electrolytes, Endocrine disrupting chemical (EDC), Ferrate(VI), Mineralization, Potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP)

1. Introduction

Water resources are continually and increasingly contaminated with domestic as well as industrial effluents. Introduction of emerging water pollutants, particularly a variety of micro-pollutants including endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs), pharmaceuticals and personal care products etc. are becoming more and more problematic due to its persistency/toxicity in aquatic environment. Potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) is an acidic salt compound and forms white powder, colourless crystals, a colourless solution, and an ionic solid. KHP is the simplest form of phthalate esters and having a benzene ring with two attached adjacent carboxylic groups (*cf.* Fig. 1). Phthalates are known as EDC because of their complex effects on several hormonal systems including the estrogen and androgen hormone systems. It is often used to make plastics soft and flexible. It is primarily employed in the production of polyvinyl chloride resins [1]. They are found in a wide variety of common products including plastics, cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, baby care products, building materials, modelling clay, automobiles, cleaning materials, insecticides, etc. Due to its wide applications in industry and large quantities of use, phthalates are recognized

as a significant environmental contaminant and is commonly detected in aquatic environment.

Phthalates easily migrates from plastic materials to the environment and is readily distributed in water, soil, air, food and human organisms [2]. Phthalates are also found in ground, natural and drinking waters [3-5]. Surface water is polluted with phthalates mainly due to the effluents of plastics plants, or washed out from the plastics (bottles, packages, etc.) gathered on waste dumps which enters into the environment. Many countries have listed the permissible/allowable concentrations of some of the phthalates in the drinking water [2].

It was reported that some micro-pollutants are seemingly caused to show direct toxicity to certain aquatic organisms [6-9] and it accumulates slowly, and finally leads to irreversible changes on wildlife and human beings [10]. The micro-pollutants may cause several adverse environmental and human health effects even at very low concentration range i.e., from µg/L to ng/L level [11-12]. Therefore, these water pollutants are received a special interest for its effective and efficient removal, even at very low level, from aquatic environment.

Phthalates may induce proliferation, malignant invasion, and tumor formation in breast cancer cell lines that are low or lack

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2018 Korean Society of Environmental Engineers

Received July 3, 2017 Accepted October 12, 2017

† Corresponding author

Email: yoonyy@cku.ac.kr, leesm@cku.ac.kr

Tel: +82-33-649-7535 Fax: +82-33-642-7635

hormone receptors. This indicates that at least some effects of these compounds are independent of their direct estrogenic or androgenic effects [13]. The endocrine-disrupting properties of this class of chemicals are well established in the off-spring of mother rats that are treated with phthalates during pregnancy. Phthalates greatly disrupt the development and functioning of male and female reproductive systems as interfered the production of testosterone and estradiol, respectively [14-15]. Exposure of human mothers to phthalates, analysed their urine samples, is associated with shortened anogenital distance in their new born sons-a measure of feminization of external genitalia [16]. Similarly, very young rats show an increased cellular proliferation in the terminal end buds of mammary tissue once exposed to the phthalates. Phthalate causes the activity of mammary-cell genes that are involved in the regulation of cell differentiation and proliferation, as well as cell-to-cell communication [17].

The existing water treatment technology is not sufficient to treat many of the EDCs from aqueous solutions. In view of this, it is necessary to identify an efficient treatment process for the effective degradation of pollutants from contaminated waters and wastewaters. Therefore, the low level removal of EDCs from the wastewater is a greater need to reduce the potential risk caused due to the presence of EDCs. Ferrate(VI) is a promising oxidant over other commonly employed oxidants having the redox potential of 2.20 V and 0.72 V at pH 1.0 and 14.0, respectively. Relatively high redox potential makes this chemical a useful oxidizing agent which show potential applicability in the treatment of wastewaters [18-21]. Several studies stated the applicability of ferrate(VI) in the treatment of several emerging contaminants including the EDCs or pharmaceuticals from aqueous solutions [22-27]. These studies show the potential use of ferrate(VI) in the possible degradation of water pollutants including the EDCs or pharmaceuticals. Moreover, our previous studies also showed potential application of ferrate(VI) in the treatment of several metal(II)-complexed species from aqueous solutions. Multifunctional use of ferrate(VI) enabled to decomplex and degrade the organic pollutant and simultaneously may remove the free metals by coagulation efficiently [28-34]. The present communication deals further the application of ferrate(VI) in the degradation of an important micro-pollutant *viz.*, potassium hydrogen phthalate from aqueous solutions. The study is conducted for various physico-chemical parameters as to obtain the mechanism and kinetics of phthalates degradation by ferrate(VI). Also the mineralization of phthalate was assessed by the total organic carbon measurements.

Fig. 1. Structure of potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP).

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Materials

Potassium hydrogen phthalate monobasic salt ($C_8H_5KO_4$), iron(III) nitrate nonahydrate ($Fe(NO_3)_3 \cdot 9H_2O$), diethyl ether ($C_4H_{10}O$), hexane (C_6H_{14}) are obtained from Sigma Aldrich Co., USA. Potassium hydroxide (KOH), hydrochloric acid (HCl), phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) is obtained from Merck India Ltd., India. Disodium tetraborate decahydrate ($Na_2B_4O_7 \cdot 10H_2O$), disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na_2HPO_4) is obtained from Himedia India Ltd., India. Purified sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) is procured from Palanad Enterprises, Nagpur, India. Purified water (10-15 $M\Omega\ cm$) is obtained from Millipore Water Purification system (Model: Elix 3).

Glass filtration system and fritted funnel (10-15 μm) is obtained from Merck, India Ltd., India. Whatman Filter Papers (GF/C grade, 47 mm) are used for filtration during ferrate(VI) preparation. Syringe filter of 25 mm diameter in size and porosity of 0.45 μm is obtained from Whatman, USA.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Preparation of potassium ferrate(VI)

Ferrate(VI) is synthesized in its potassium salt by the wet chemical oxidation process followed the previously described method [31-34]. The purity of the synthesized potassium ferrate was found to be >95% as measured by the known spectrophotometric method [21]. The product was carefully kept in a vacuum desiccator contained with excess of potassium hydroxide pellets.

2.2.2. Batch reactor method

1.0 mmol/L of KHP stock solution is prepared by dissolving an appropriate amount of KHP in the phosphate/borate (0.01 mol/L) buffer solutions. The pH is adjusted by the drop-wise addition of phosphoric acid/sodium hydroxide (0.1 mol/L) solutions. The required concentration of KHP is obtained by the successive dilution of stock solutions. Batch experiments are conducted by taking varied concentrations of KHP solutions (0.03 to 0.5 mmol/L; 100 mL each) at varied but constant pH values (pH 7.0 to 12.0) in a small reactor vessel and then a constant amount of solid potassium ferrate (0.1 mmol/L) is introduced in the reactor vessel under stirred condition. The decomposition of ferrate(VI) is recorded which indicates the degradation of the KHP. Once after introducing the ferrate(VI), immediately the solution is subjected to measure the absorbance of the solution at 510 nm at regular interval using the UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (UV-Visible Spectrophotometer: Thermo Electron Corporation, England; Model: Thermo Spectronic UVI). For blank experiments, having the same pH and having the same buffer solutions a blank of ferrate(VI) decomposition (in absence of KHP) is recorded by observing the change in absorbance recorded at 510 nm for an identical time intervals. A necessary absorbance correction is conducted to nullify the self-degradation of ferrate(VI) using the blank absorbance data. The samples are kept in the reactor for another 2 h under the stirred conditions. It is then filtered using the syringe filter (0.45 μm) and the solution was divided into two parts. One part is subjected for the HPLC

(high performance liquid chromatography) measurements and the other part for the TOC (total organic carbon analyzer) (Shimadzu, Japan; Model: TOC-VCPH/CPN) analysis.

The HPLC measurements are performed using the Waters HPLC instrument (Waters 515 HPLC Pump) equipped with the Waters 2489 UV/Visible detector and having C18, 5 μm (4.6×250 mm) column. 20 μL sample is injected and the flow rate is maintained at 0.5 mL/min. The acetonitrile:water (70:30) is used as mobile phase and the absorbance is recorded at 230 nm. The peak area calculation is adopted for concentration of KHP determination. The standard solutions of KHP are prepared dissolving appropriate amount of KHP in the HPLC grade water (Merck, India). Further, the area of peak calculation is used to obtain the percentage removal of KHP. The results are then presented as percentage of KHP removed as a function of KHP concentration and pH.

The total organic carbon (TOC) measurement is converted into the percentage removal using the initial TOC value of KHP. The total organic carbon value is an indicative of the mineralization of the KHP from aqueous solution. Therefore, the percentage mineralization of KHP is obtained and presented as a function of KHP concentration and pH. Moreover, the difference in TOC values i.e., the initial and ferrate(VI) treated samples is utilized in the calculation of amount of KHP removed and shown as a function of pH and pollutant initial concentration.

The degradation of KHP by ferrate(VI) is also assessed in presence of several background electrolyte concentrations viz., Na_2HPO_4 , Na_2SO_4 , NaNO_3 , NaCl , NaNO_2 and Na_2SO_3 . The concentration of background electrolytes, KHP and ferrate(VI) are taken as 0.1 mmol/L and the samples are treated at pH 8.0. The solutions are stirred for 2 h and then filtered using the syringe filter (0.45 μm) and subjected for the KHP concentration measurements using the HPLC.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of KHP Concentration

The KHP solution having the concentrations of 0.03 mmol/L to 0.5 mmol/L was treated with ferrate (0.1 mmol/L) at a constant pH 8.0. The concentrations of KHP was varied in such a way so that a wide range of ferrate(VI) to KHP molar ratio was obtained (i.e., from 1:0.3 to 1:5). The time dependence degradation of ferrate(VI) in presence of KHP was observed by monitoring the change in concentration of ferrate(VI) using the UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The results were presented in Fig. 2. It is evident from the figure that increasing the concentration of KHP the reduction of ferrate(VI) was increased sharply. Therefore, the degradation of the pollutant was increased significantly with the increase of pollutant concentration. Further, it was observed that during the initial period the degradation of ferrate(VI) was relatively fast which attained almost a constant value in the later stage of time i.e., beyond 10 min of contact. These results showed that a maximum degradation of KHP was achieved during the initial period of contact. Therefore, ferrate(VI) was found to be efficient oxidant at least in the degradation of KHP from

Fig. 2. Time dependence change in ferrate(VI) concentration at varied concentrations of potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) at pH 8.0.

aqueous solutions. Further, quantitatively, increasing the contact time from 0 to 19 min the amount of ferrate(VI) was decreased from 0.10 to 0.087 mmol/L for the 1:1 molar ratios of ferrate(VI) to KHP.

3.2. Kinetics of KHP Degradation

The kinetics of KHP degradation by ferrate(VI) was performed using the time dependence data obtained for the change in ferrate(VI) concentration at pH 8.0 having varied concentration of KHP. The rate of ferrate(VI) reduction in presence of KHP is presented as Eq. (1):

$$-\frac{d[\text{Fe(VI)}]}{dt} = k_{app} [\text{Fe(VI)}]^m [\text{KHP}]^n \quad (1)$$

where k_{app} is eventually an apparent rate constant in the degradation of ferrate(VI) in presence of KHP. Further, the Eq. (1) could be reduced to Eq. (2) at varied concentrations of KHP and at constant pH:

$$-\frac{d[\text{Fe(VI)}]}{dt} = k_1 [\text{Fe(VI)}]^m \quad (2)$$

where,

$$k_1 = k_{app} [\text{KHP}]^n \quad (3)$$

The time dependence change in ferrate(VI) concentration (i.e., from Fig. 3) is utilized to fit into the pseudo-first-order rate and pseudo-second-order rate equations to estimate the value of 'm' either one or two at pH 8.0. The kinetic data is suitably fitted well to the pseudo-first order rate kinetics (cf. Fig. 3). This clearly inferred that the value of 'm' is 1 with respect to each concentration of KHP. The pseudo-first order rate constant values at pH 8.0 was obtained and included in Table 1 with the regression coefficient (R^2). Table 1 further indicated that increasing the concentration of KHP (from 0.03 to 0.5 mmol/L) apparently caused to an increase in pseudo-first order rate constant values. This was possibly due to the fairly high oxidizing capacity of ferrate(VI) towards the pollutants that enabled it faster oxidation of the pollutant species in aqueous solutions [34-35].

Fig. 3. Plot of $\text{Log}(C_0 - C_t)$ against time 't' in the degradation of KHP by ferrate(VI) [pH: 8.0; [KHP]: 0.10 mmol/L; [Ferrate(VI)]: 0.10 mmol/L and C_0 and C_t are the concentration of ferrate(VI) at time '0' and 't' mins, respectively]

Table 1. Pseudo-first Order Rate Constant Values Obtained for the Degradation of Ferrate(VI) in Presence of Potassium Hydrogen Phthalate at pH 8.0

Concentration of potassium hydrogen phthalate (mmol/L)	Pseudo-first order rate constant ($k_1 \times 10^{-3}$) (min^{-1})	R^2
0.03	3.91	0.801
0.05	5.53	0.917
0.075	7.83	0.922
0.10	8.52	0.938
0.30	19.58	0.976
0.50	44.45	0.875

Fig. 4. Variation of pseudo-first order rate constant values (k_1) as a function of potassium hydrogen phthalate concentration at pH 8.0.

The pseudo-first order rate constant values obtained at varied concentrations of KHP at pH 8.0 (*cf.* Table 1) is then utilized to obtain the value of 'n' hence, the stoichiometry of ferrate(VI) and KHP along with the apparent rate constant value k_{app} . A plot is drawn between the KHP concentrations against the ' k_1 ' values and is illustrated in Fig. 4. The results clearly demonstrated

that reasonably a good linearly was obtained between the concentration of KHP against the k_1 values at pH 8.0 (*cf.* Fig. 3). Hence, this indicated that the value of 'n' is '1'. These results therefore, concludes that the stoichiometric ratio of the ferrate(VI) and KHP is 1:1. Moreover, the apparent or overall rate constant k_{app} is found to be 83.40 L/mol/min ($R^2 = 0.964$). Relatively high value of k_{app} indicates that the ferrate(VI) is efficient in the degradation of KHP from aqueous solutions [36-37].

3.3. Effect of pH and Concentration of KHP in the Degradation of KHP with Ferrate(VI)

The pH dependence study is a useful parameter in order to optimize the selectivity of solution pH to degrade the pollutant from aqueous solutions. Additionally, it provides the mechanism involved in the degradation of pollutant by ferrate(VI). The degradation of KHP was further studied using the HPLC measurements and the results are illustrated in Fig. 5 as percentage removal of KHP against the pH and concentration of KHP. It is observed, in general, that increasing the concentration of KHP i.e., 0.03 to 0.5 mmol/L has caused to decrease in percentage removal of KHP at a constant concentration of ferrate(VI). More quantitatively, increasing the concentration of KHP from 0.03 to 0.5 mmol/L, the corresponding decrease in percentage removal of KHP was found to be from 76.13 to 16.03%, respectively at pH 7.0 and at ferrate(VI) concentration of 0.1 mmol/L. This decrease in percentage removal is due to the fact that at lower concentration of KHP relatively more number of ferrate(VI) molecules are present to degrade the lesser number of KHP molecules. However, increasing the concentration of KHP an apparent increase in content of KHP removal is obtained. This indicated that although at lower concentration the percentage removal of KHP is higher however, the increase in KHP concentration favoured the content removal of KHP at a constant concentration of ferrate(VI).

On the other hand the decrease in pH from pH 12.0 to 7.0 enabled to increase significantly the percentage removal of KHP from aqueous solutions (Fig. 5). Quantitatively, decreasing the pH from 12.0 to 7.0 the corresponding percentage degradation of KHP is increased from 14.35 to 51.16% at the ferrate(VI) to KHP molar ratio 1:1 (Fig. 5). The speciation studies showed that at around pH 7.0 the protonated species of the ferrate(VI) i.e., HFeO_4^- dominated since the $\text{p}K_a$ value for the acid dissociation of HFeO_4^- is reported to be 7.3 [38]:

The reactivity of protonated species is significantly high since the protonated species possesses larger spin density [34, 39, 40]. Moreover, the alkyl groups are found to be electron releasing groups, hence enhances the reactivity of protonated species HFeO_4^- in aqueous solutions [19]. Similarly, the redox potential of ferrate(VI) gradually increases with the decrease in pH which again enhances the reactivity of the ferrate(VI) at lower pH conditions. Previously, it was reported that 4-chlorophenol was degraded maximum at pH 9.0 whereas relatively less degradation was achieved at pH 11 and 7.0. This was explained with the

Fig. 5. Percentage removal of potassium hydrogen phthalate as a function of solution pH and pollutant concentrations using a constant dose of ferrate(VI): 0.1 mmol/L.

fact that at this pH phenol is predominantly present in the deprotonated species which is readily attacked by the ferrate(VI) and led to the formation of phenoxy radicals [41]. Similarly, the higher degradation was reported with the protonated species of ferrate (HFeO_4^-) than the deprotonated species (FeO_4^{2-}) in the degradation of various steroid estrogen from aqueous solutions [33]. In a line, it was reported that the dissociated species of ciprofloxacin was relatively stable whereas the un-dissociated species of ciprofloxacin was unstable. Therefore, the degradation of dissociated species by ferrate(VI) was found lesser than the un-dissociated ciprofloxacin [42].

3.4. Mineralization of KHP

Further, it is interesting to obtain the mineralization of KHP after the ferrate(VI) treatment. The samples of KHP having varied concentrations (0.03 to 0.5 mmol/L) were treated with a constant dose of ferrate(VI) (0.1 mmol/L) for a period of 2 h at constant but varied pH conditions (pH 7.0 to 12.0). The samples were then subjected for the estimation of TOC values. The untreated samples of KHP were also employed to measure the initial TOC values. Based on the TOC measurements the percentage TOC removal of the KHP was obtained and presented as a function of pollutant concentration and solution pH in Fig. 6(a). It is evident from the Fig. 6(a) that the decrease in KHP concentration and pH greatly favoured the percentage removal of TOC. Quantitatively, decreasing the KHP concentration from 0.5 to 0.03 mmol/L was caused to increase the TOC removal from 26.80 to 51.96% as observed at pH 7.0. Similarly, decreasing the solution pH from 12.0 to 7.0 the corresponding increase in percentage TOC removal was found to be 12.38 to 30.53% at the 1:1 molar ratio of the ferrate(VI) to KHP. The trend of this result was in a line to the HPLC results obtained previously. It was also evident from the TOC data that although a partial mineralization of this micro-pollutant was obtained but a significant percentage of the KHP was mineralized at a single dose of ferrate(VI) 0.1 mmol/L.

On the other hand, the data was further presented in terms of total amount of the KHP mineralized by the ferrate(VI) treatment and were presented as a function of KHP initial concentration and solution pH (*cf.* Fig. 6(b)). It is interesting to observe

Fig. 6. (a) Percentage removal; and (b) extent removal of potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) as a function of pH and KHP concentrations at a constant concentration of ferrate(VI): 0.1 mmol/L.

that increasing the initial concentration of KHP apparently caused to increase the content removal of KHP from aqueous solutions. Similarly, decrease in pH (i.e., from pH 12.0 to 7.0) also favoured the content removal of KHP.

3.5. Effect of Co-existing Ions

The presence of co-existing ions in the oxidative removal of KHP (0.1 mmol/L) was studied using the ferrate(VI) dose 0.1 mmol/L at constant initial pH 8.0. The Na_2HPO_4 , Na_2SO_4 , NaNO_3 , NaCl , NaNO_2 and Na_2SO_3 are used as co-existing ions having the concentration of 0.1 mmol/L of each. Variety of electrolytes was used having partly or fully oxidized ions. The results are illustrated in Fig. 7. Results indicated that the presence of fully oxidized electrolytes *viz.*, NaCl , NaNO_3 and Na_2HPO_4 could not affect significantly the oxidation of KHP by ferrate(VI). However, on the other hand the partly oxidized NaNO_2 and Na_2SO_3 electrolytes are caused significantly less percentage decomposition of KHP from aqueous solutions. The results inferred that the presence of NO_2^- and SO_3^{2-} greatly affect the degradation of KHP by the ferrate(VI). Previously, it was reported that the presence of NO_2^- and SO_3^{2-} greatly affected the degradation of the Zn(II)-NTA complex by the ferrate(VI) since the ferrate(VI) decomposition was increased significantly in presence of these electrolytes [32]. Further, the extent of oxidation of KHP by the ferrate(VI) was studied increasing the NaNO_2 or Na_2SO_3 concentration from 0.1 to 5.0 mmol/L at a constant KHP and

Fig. 7. Effect of electrolytes in the degradation of potassium hydrogen phthalate by ferrate(VI).

Fig. 8. Effect of various concentrations of electrolyte concentrations in the degradations of potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) by ferrate(VI).

ferrate(VI) concentration of 1.0 mmol/L and at pH 8.0. The results are returned graphically in Fig. 8. It is noted that increasing the background concentration from 0.1 to 5.0 mmol/L NaNO₂ the corresponding decrease in percentage removal of KHP was from 43.02 to 39.96% (i.e., 3.06% only). Almost similar decrease was observed for the Na₂SO₃ i.e., from 42.95 to 39.47% (i.e., 3.48% only). The insignificant decrease in percentage removal of KHP even with increasing the partially oxidized electrolytes viz., NaNO₂ and Na₂SO₃ pointed the affinity and potential application of ferrate(VI), at least, in the degradation of KHP from aqueous solutions.

4. Conclusions

High purity ferrate(VI) was synthesized and employed in the treatment of KHP from aqueous solutions under the simulated batch reactor operations. The treatment of KHP by ferrate was conducted at a wide concentration range of KHP i.e., from 0.03 to 0.5 mmol/L and solution pH from pH 7.0 to 12.0 having a constant concentration of ferrate(VI) 0.10 mmol/L. The increase

in KHP concentration and decrease in pH greatly favoured the removal of KHP from aqueous solutions. The HPLC data showed that very high percentage removal of KHP was achieved using ferrate(VI) using a single dose of 0.10 mmol/L. The kinetic studies performed at pH 8.0 indicated that the pseudo-first order rate kinetics was followed to each reactants and showed 1:1 stoichiometry of degradation for KHP and ferrate(VI). Moreover, the overall rate constant was found to be 83.40 L/mol/min. Further, partial but significant percentage TOC removal was obtained by the ferrate(VI) treatment which indicated that ferrate(VI) could mineralize significantly the KHP from aqueous solutions. Further, the TOC removal was increased by decreasing the pollutant concentration and pH. However, the content of pollutant mineralization was increased with the increase in initial KHP concentration. The presence of co-existing electrolytes viz., NaNO₃, NaCl, NaSO₄ and Na₂HPO₄ could not affect the degradation of KHP by ferrate(VI). But the presence of NO₂⁻ and SO₃²⁻ affected the degradation of KHP by ferrate(VI). Overall, ferrate(VI) is a promising, safe and viable oxidant could be employed in the treatment of wastewater contaminated with KHP.

References

1. Bemis G, Dindorf JA, Harwood B, Samas C. In: Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology. 3rd ed. New York: Wiley; 1978. p. 745.
2. Penalaver A, Pocerull E, Borull F, Marce R M. Comparison of different fibers for the solid-phase microextraction of phthalate esters from water. *J. Chromat. A*. 2001;922:377-384.
3. ATSDR, Agency for toxic substances and disease registry. Toxicological profile for Diethyl phthalate, Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 1995.
4. ATSDR, Agency for toxic substances and disease registry. Toxicological profile for Di-n-Butyl phthalate GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 2001.
5. ATSDR, Agency for toxic substances and disease registry. Toxicological profile for di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 2002.
6. Ferrari B, Paxeus N, Giudice RL, Pollio A, Grric J. Ecotoxicological impact of pharmaceuticals found in treated wastewaters: study of carbamazepine, clofibric acid, and diclofenac. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe*. 2003;55:359-370.
7. Jjemba PK. Excretion and ecotoxicity of pharmaceutical and personal care products in the environment. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe*. 2006;63:113-130.
8. Grung M, Kallqvist T, Sakshaug S, Skurtveit S, Thomas KV. Environmental assessment of Norwegian priority pharmaceuticals based on the EMEA guideline. *Ecotox. Environ. Safe*. 2008;71:328-340.
9. Jafari AJ, Kakavandi B, Jaafarzadeh N, Kalantary RR, Ahmadi M, Babaei AA. Fenton-like catalytic oxidation of tetracycline by AC@Fe₃O₄ as a heterogeneous persulfate activator: Adsorption and degradation studies. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.*

- 2017;45:323-333.
10. Daughton CG, Ternes TA. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products in the environment: Agents of subtle change? *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1999;107(S6):907-938.
 11. Jiang JQ. The role of ferrate(VI) in the remediation of emerging micro pollutants. *Procedia Environ. Sci.* 2013;18:418-426.
 12. Tiwari D, Sailo L, Choi SI, Yoon YY, Lee SM. Efficient oxidative removal of 4-tert-octylphenol and 17 α -ethynylestradiol from aqueous solutions using ferrate(VI). *Korean J. Chem. Eng.* 2017;34:734-740.
 13. Hsieh TH, Tsai CF, Hsu CY, et al. Phthalates induce proliferation and invasiveness of estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer through the AhR/HDAC6/c-Myc signaling pathway. *FASEB J.* 2012;26:778-787.
 14. Jiang JT, Ma L, Yuan L. Study on developmental abnormalities in hypospadiac male rats induced by maternal exposure to di-n-butyl phthalate (DBP). *Toxicology* 2007;232:286-293.
 15. Lovekamp-Swan T, Davis BJ. Mechanisms of phthalate ester toxicity in the female reproductive system. *Environ. Health Persp.* 2003;111:139-145.
 16. Swan SH, Main KM, Liu F, et al. Decrease in anogenital distance among male infants with prenatal phthalate exposure. *Environ. Health Persp.* 2005;113:1056-1061.
 17. Moral R, Wang R, Russo IH, et al. The plasticizer butyl benzyl phthalate induces genomic changes in rat mammary gland after neonatal/prepubertal exposure. *BMC Genomics* 2007;8:453.
 18. Jiang JQ. Research progress in the use of ferrate(VI) for the environmental remediation. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2007;146:617-623.
 19. Sharma VK. Ferrate(VI) and ferrate(V) oxidation of organic compounds: Kinetics and mechanism. *Coordin. Chem. Rev.* 2013;257:495-510.
 20. Tiwari D, Yang JK, Lee SM. Applications of ferrate(VI) in the treatment of wastewaters. *Environ. Eng. Res.* 2005;10:269-282.
 21. Lee Y, Cho M, Kim JY, Yoon J. Chemistry of ferrate (Fe(VI)) in aqueous solution and its applications as a green chemical. *J. Ind. Eng. Chem.* 2004;10:161-171.
 22. Carr JD, Kelter PB, Ericson AT. Ferrate(VI) oxidation of nitrilotriacetic acid. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1981;15:184-187.
 23. Sharma VK, Bielski BHK. Reactivity of ferrate(VI) and ferrate(V) with amino acids. *Inorg. Chem.* 1991;30:4306-4310.
 24. Jiang JQ, Yin Q, Pearce P, Zhou JL. Occurrence and treatment trials of endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) in wastewaters. *Chemosphere* 2005;61:544-550.
 25. Sharma VK, Li XZ, Graham N, Doong RA. Ferrate(VI) oxidation of endocrine disruptors and antimicrobials in water. *J. Water Supply Res. Technol. AQUA* 2008;57:419-426.
 26. Sharma VK, Anquandah GAK, Nesnas N. Kinetics of the oxidation of endocrine disruptor nonylphenol by ferrate(VI). *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2009;7:115-119.
 27. Yang B, Ying GG, Zhao JL, Liu S, Zhou LJ, Chen F. Removal of selected endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs) and pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) during ferrate(VI) treatment of secondary wastewater effluents. *Water Res.* 2012;46:2194-2204.
 28. Pachau L, Lee SM, Tiwari D. Ferrate(VI) in wastewater treatment contaminated with metal(II)-iminodiacetic acid complexed species. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2013;230:141-148.
 29. Tiwari D, Sailo L, Pachau L. Remediation of aquatic environment contaminated with the iminodiacetic acid metal complexes using ferrate(VI). *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2014;132:77-83.
 30. Lee SM, Tiwari D. Application of ferrate(VI) in the treatment of industrial wastes containing metal-complexed cyanides: A green treatment. *J. Environ. Sci.* 2009;21:1347-1352.
 31. Tiwari D, Kim HU, Choi BJ, et al. Ferrate(VI): A green chemical for the oxidation of cyanide aqueous/waste solutions. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A* 2007;42:803-810.
 32. Yang JK, Tiwari D, Yu MR, Pachau L, Lee SM. Application of ferrate(VI) in the application of industrial wastes containing Zn(II)-NTA complexes in aqueous solutions: A green chemical treatment. *Environ. Technol.* 2010;31:791-798.
 33. Li C, Li XZ, Graham N, Gao NY. The aqueous degradation of bisphenol A and steroid estrogens by ferrate. *Water Res.* 2008;42:109-120.
 34. Tiwari D. Ferrate(VI) a greener solution: Synthesis, characterization, and multifunctional use in treating metal-complexed species in aqueous solution. In: Sharma VK, Doong R, Kim H, Varma RS, Dionysiou DD, eds. *Ferrites and Ferrates: Chemistry and Applications in Sustainable Energy and Environmental Remediation*; 2016. vol. 1238. p. 161-220.
 35. Tiwari D, Yang JK, Chang YY, Lee SM. Application of ferrate(VI) on the decomplexation of Cu(II)-EDTA. *Environ. Eng. Res.* 2008;13:131-135.
 36. Sharma VK, Zboril R, Varma RS. Ferrates: Greener oxidants with multimodal action in water treatment technologies. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2015;48:182-191.
 37. Sharma VK, Chen L, Zboril R. Review on high valent ferrate(VI): A sustainable green oxidant in organic chemistry and transformation of pharmaceuticals. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* 2016;4:18-34.
 38. Garcia-Araya JF, Beltran FJ, Aguinaco A. Diclofenac removal from water by ozone and photocatalytic TiO₂ catalyzed processes. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 2010;85:798-804.
 39. Ohta T, Kamachi T, Shiota Y, Yoshizawa K. A theoretical study of alcohol oxidation by ferrate. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001;66:4122-4131.
 40. Sharma VK, Mishra SK, Nesnas N. Oxidation of sulfonamide antimicrobials by ferrate (VI)[Fe^{VI}O₄²⁻]. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2006;40:7222-7227.
 41. Graham N, Jiang CC, Li XZ, Jiang JQ, Ma J. The influence of pH on the degradation of phenol and chlorophenols by potassium ferrate. *Chemosphere* 2004;56:949-956.
 42. Jiang JQ, Zhou Z, Pahl O. Preliminary study of ciprofloxacin (CIP) removal by potassium ferrate(VI). *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2012;88:95-98.